



# The Beaudesert & Henley-in-Arden Joint Parish Council

Working for the Benefit of the Residents of Henley-in-Arden

Clerk : Ray Evans email : [clerk@henley-in-arden-pc.gov.uk](mailto:clerk@henley-in-arden-pc.gov.uk)

Tel: 01564 637 607

The MINUTES of the Planning Sub-Committee Meeting of Beaudesert and Henley-in-Arden Joint Parish Council held on Monday, 9<sup>th</sup> March 2020 at 6.00 pm in the Heritage Centre High Street, Henley-in-Arden.

Present: Cllrs Bainbridge, V Hubbocks, R Hubbocks, S Harfield, D Broadbent and Mr D Tomlinson, advisor to the said sub-committee.

Signed:

Ray Evans  
Parish Clerk

Dated: 10<sup>th</sup> March 2020

Photographing, reporting, recording, filming or transmitting the proceedings of a meeting may occur and therefore all persons participating in the meeting should be aware that such recording, reporting or filming may take place. Members of the public attending the meeting (but are not participating in the meeting) who do not wish to be filmed or photographed in the meeting must state this at the start of the meeting.

The Chairman requests all mobile phones are switched to silent mode.

### Public Participation Session

Members of the public are invited to give their views on items on this agenda including planning applications or raise issues for future consideration at the discretion of the Chairman. No decisions will be made in this part of the meeting. The Public Participation Session is a general forum for open discussion after which members of the public will have no further opportunity to speak unless invited to do so by the Chairman.

Pause for a few members to allow members of the public to leave the meeting if they wish to do so.

### Commencement of the Council Meeting

- 1 **Apologies for absence and acceptance of apologies**  
All present – no apologies
- 2 **Declarations of Interest and Dispensations**  
None
- 3 **Minutes of the Planning Sub-Committee meetings held on 10<sup>th</sup> February 2020 were approved and signed.**
- 4 **To consider the Council's responses to planning application(s)**

Application no.	Proposal	Address	Submitted by	Comments due by
20/00279/FUL	Conversion of a redundant stables building	The Meadows, Buckley Green B95 5QF	Mrs Marsden	16.03.2020
20/00464/FUL	Erection of a side extension in green belt	Glyndhurst, Birmingham Road B95 5QD	Mrs Kershaw	18.03.2020
19/03612/TPO	T1 Mulberry reduce height.	The Guild Hall, High Street B95 5AU	Mr Dovey	12.03.2020
20/00044/ADV	2no fascia 1no hanging projection 2no display boards	106A High Street, B95 5BY	Mrs Delpreet	25.03.2020
20/00569/LBC	2no fascia 1no hanging projection 2no display boards	106A High Street, B95 5BY	Mrs Delpreet	25.03.2020

--	--	--	--	--

- **20/00279/FUL** – This application was recognised as having been submitted late 2019, the only change appeared to be a plot for parking cars. Council members commented that more attention in replicating the original stable building wrought iron windows might have been desirable in the latest design, rather than using modern domestic style frames. It was unanimously **RESOLVED** the JPC Planning Sub-Committee would instruct the Clerk to utilise his delegated powers to submit a response to SDC of **SUPPORT**
- **20/00464/FUL** – As the application covered a small extension to the existing kitchen entrance, and carried no obvious impact on planning conditions, it was unanimously **RESOLVED** the JPC Planning Sub-Committee would instruct the Clerk to utilise his delegated powers to submit a response to SDC of **SUPPORT**
- **19/03612/TPO** – Following a discussion, it was unanimously **RESOLVED** the JPC Planning Sub-Committee would instruct the Clerk to utilise his delegated powers to submit a response to SDC of **NO REPRESENTATION**.
- **20/00044/ADV** – it was noted that this was in fact a retrospective application as all **THREE** advertising display boards were in situ. Comments from all Councillors included that the signage was excessive and inappropriate for a conservation area, that one of the three boards should be removed, as two were deemed sufficient, and that those remaining might be made more in keeping with adjacent businesses if they were ‘framed’ in a timber border. The Councillors were minded to support the application with such noted reservations. It was unanimously **RESOLVED** the JPC Planning Sub-Committee would instruct the Clerk to utilise his delegated powers to submit a response to SDC of **SUPPORT**
- **20/00569/LBC** – it was noted that this was in fact a retrospective application as all **THREE** advertising display boards were in situ. Comments from all Councillors included that the signage was excessive and inappropriate for a conservation area, that one of the three boards should be removed, as two were deemed sufficient, and that those remaining might be made more in keeping with adjacent businesses if they were ‘framed’ in a timber border. The Councillors were minded to support the application with such noted reservations. It was unanimously **RESOLVED** the JPC Planning Sub-Committee would instruct the Clerk to utilise his delegated powers to submit a response to SDC of **SUPPORT**

**5. Items for private session.**

There were no items for a private session.

**MEETING CLOSED AT 6.30PM**

**To confirm the date and time of the next JPC Meetings:**

1. **JPC Finance Meeting – 16<sup>th</sup> March 2020, commencing at 6.00 pm at the Memorial Hall**
2. **JPC Ordinary Meeting – 16<sup>th</sup> March, commencing at 7.00 pm at the Memorial Hall**

**PLEASE NOTE THAT IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE A HARD COPY OF THE DRAFT AGENDA OR MINUTES FOLLOWING THE JPC MEETING AND DOESN'T HAVE ACCESS TO A COMPUTER, PLEASE CONTACT THE CLERK ON 01926 814491 TO REQUEST A COPY.**