### BEAUDESERT & HENLEY IN ARDEN JOINT PARISH COUNCIL



## **MINUTES – PLANNING SC**

The Chair, members of the JPC Planning Sub-Committee met together, using Zoom technology, on Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> August 2020, at 6.30pm. These are the minutes of that meeting.

# Present: Cllrs M Bainbridge [Chair] Dave Broadbent, Sally Harfield, Roger and Val Hubbocks, Jacqueline Kingsnorth, Elaine Field and the Clerk.

#### **Public Participation Session:**

There were two members of the public present at the meeting.

The Chair, Cllr Bainbridge delivered an unconditional apology to Dr D Bassett, present in the public gallery, stating that the JPC, and she in particular, regretted any anxiety caused by the process of considering her planning application, that she would confirm her apology in writing in the hope that the matter was now closed. Dr Bassett reminded the assembly that all councillors should be aware of their responsibilities when they join the council but fully accepted the apology given by Cllr Bainbridge. The Clerk mentioned that the members of the public were welcome to listen to the ongoing proceedings.

Item 1.00 Apologies for Absence Apologies from D Tomlinson

#### Item 2.00

#### **Declarations of Interest and Dispensations**

Cllr J Kingsnorth declared an interest in the planning application reference **20/01352/FUL**, **21** Glenhurst Road [Item 4.00 of the agenda]

Approval of Minutes of previous meeting held on the 15th July 2020

Minutes declared true and accurate and were duly signed off.

#### Item 4.00

To consider the complaint raised under application 20/01352/FUL, 21 Glenhurst Road, by SDC in respect of Cllr J Kingsnorth, who may be in breach of conflict protocol under SDC code of conduct. Supporting Documents – [JPC Complaint & Letter to Parish Clerk 20 07 20 & Clerk's Response dated 30.07.2020] circulated earlier. The Chair of JPC addressed the meeting to explain that Cllr Kingsnorth, due to the lockdown had not received a full compliment of training and that perhaps management had not been as tight as it may have been, she thanked Dr Bassett for highlighting those shortcomings which the JPC would reflect on. She thanked Cllr Bainbridge for her actions and was pleased that Dr Bassett fully accepted her apology and thanked her for attending the meeting. Cllr Field reminded everyone that the application would be presented again for consideration.

#### Item 5.00 To note the Council's responses to planning applications –

**20/01352/FUL.** Following a discussion, which included a report from Cllr Broadbent who had visited the site, the focus levelled on the plans which showed a 1100mm high glass balustrade around the roof perimeter of the side extension. Cllr Bainbridge remarked that assistant planner, SDC, commented that if the balcony were to be removed, no planning permissions would be required under permitted development. It was proposed that the application be supported with conditions by Cllr R Hubbocks, seconded by Cllr Broadbent, and carried in favour. It was **RESOLVED** that the Clerk utilise his powers to submit a response of **SUPPORT**, on condition that the balustrade is removed.

#### 20/01848/TREE Clerk used DP direct with Ruth Rose

**20/01620 FUL Russell House Doctor's Lane** – in order to meet the closing date for comments, an email declaration was reached in favour of **NO OBJECTION** posted on the SDC portal on the 31<sup>st</sup> of July 2020.

20/01882/TREE 158 High Street Clerk used DP direct with Ruth Rose

20/01872/TREE 23 the Yew Trees application withdrawn.

#### 20/01469/FUL Walnut Cottage 142/144 High Street.

The Chair commented that SDC had already decided that the changes had been accepted by Wayne Bellamy and the Conservation Officer. Cllr Broadbent proposed a motion of **NO REPRESENTATION**, seconded by Cllr Bainbridge, motion carried in favour by all present.

20/01470/LBC as above but listed building consent.

#### 20/01334/FUL 2 Harris Close.

Small extension on a corner plot not affecting anyone. Cllr V Hubbocks proposed **NO OBJECTION**, seconded by Cllr Broadbent, motioned carried in favour by all present.

#### 20/01397/FUL land of A3400

Application for a new gate. Repositioned for safety reasons, application is a genuine request by a local Christmas tree grower. Cllr Bainbridge proposed a motion of **NO OBJECTION**, seconded by Cllr Harfield, motion carried in favour by all present.

#### 20/01127/LBC 157 High Street

A glass partition located internally, Cllr Broadbent proposed **NO REPRESENTATION**, seconded by Cllr V Hubbocks, motion carried in favour by all present.

#### 20/01841/FUL Rosewood Birmingham Road

One of the Thirteen Virgins. Lively exchange between members of the committee. Cllr, proposed **SUPPORT** with conditions, seconded by Cllr R Hubbocks, carried in favour by all, but

subject to the condition that the extension roof line be made subservient to the existing roof line.

#### 20/01664/LBC Old Lloyds Bank

New windows and **inner** front doors. Cllr Bainbridge proposed that they use the same doors or replace them with doors more in keeping with the rest of the building, this was supported by all. Cllr R Hubbocks proposed **OBJECTION** with conditions, seconded by Cllr V Hubbocks, carried in favour by all, but **subject to the condition** that the developer **reconsiders the use of plain modern doors in favour of keeping the existing doors or replaced by doors replicating the existing doors.** 

#### Item 6.00

To consider and if appropriate, seek to apply for a change in present permission for the Flag Pole at the Market Cross. Original application number 16/01167/FUL.

Very lively debate, all presenting their views, Chair reminded all that she had asked for the JPC Council to provide a planning reason for changing the conditions. None had been offered, she therefore reiterated that the standing Permission, condition 5. [Reason] stated: "In the interests of highway safety", which indicates that a flying flag may create a distraction to motorists approaching the pelican crossing. The flag pole must also "protect the visual amenity of the area and nearby listed buildings. The Clerk was instructed to approach SDC Planning with a request to change the conditions by winning agreement that the flag pole be permanently erected as long as it does not contravene the above standing conditions. The Clerk will report back to Council. Cllr V Hubbocks requested that the Clerk minute that she objected strongly to the flag pole being a permanent fixture. The Clerk noted that plans to fly a flag on VJ Day had been abandoned.

#### Please refer to SDC portal for further details

#### Date of next meeting – 17<sup>th</sup> August 2020

#### Meeting concluded at 6.55pm.